United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITE V.	D ST	TATES OF AMERICA	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Matthew Shepherd			Case Number: 1:06 MJ 321	
facts re	In a quire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 the detention of the defendant pending trial in this c	42(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following ase.	
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describe offense state or local offense that would have be jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 an offense for which the maximum sentence is		
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed simprisonment for the offense described in finding (Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable	I while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state ince the date of conviction release of the defendant from	
X	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda		
	(2)	☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act stablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions identified and the safety of the community.	
X		Alternate There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a There is a serious risk that the defendant will endar		
		Part II – Written Statem	ent of Reasons for Detention	
	I fin	d that the credible testimony and information submit	ted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that	
yea with prol for o	rs. M nin a f batior drivin	Most significantly, defendant was sentenced to probative few months of probation violation. He was ordered to have revoked in 2003 after he was convicted of com	drug abuse and mental health problems. His criminal record spans 15 tion in 2003 on a state controlled substances felony and was found guilty of enter a halfway house (KPEP) but absconded almost immediately. His mitting a stalking offense. Since that time he has a string of convictions e, and retail fraud. Defendant's demonstrated inability to comply with inditions of bond.	
appeal. the Unit	ons f The ted S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorn acility separate, to the extent practicable, from perso defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportuni	as Regarding Detention bey General or his designated representative for confinement in a cons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending the for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the opearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
April 13, 2006			s/ Joseph G. Scoville	
Date			ignature of Judge	
			Joseph G. Scoville, United States Magistrate Judge	